A Synthetic Analogue of the Active Site of Fe-Containing Nitrile Hydratase with Carboxamido N and Thiolato S as Donors: Synthesis, Structure, and Reactivities
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Abstract: As part of our work on models of the iron(III) site of Fe-containing nitrile hydratase, a designed ligand PyPSH₄ with two carboxamide and two thiolate donor groups has been synthesized. Reaction of (Et₄N)₂[FeCl₄] with the deprotonated form of the ligand in DMF affords the mononuclear iron(III) complex (Et₄N)₂[Fe(II)(PyPS)] (1) in high yield. The iron(III) center is in a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with two deprotonated carboxamido nitrogens, one pyridine nitrogen, and two thiolato sulfurs as donors. Complex I is stable in water and binds a variety of Lewis bases at the sixth site at low temperature to afford green solutions with a band around 700 nm. The iron(III) centers in these six-coordinate species are low-spin and exhibit EPR spectra much like the enzyme. The pKₐ of the water molecule in [Fe(III)(PyPS)(H₂O)]⁻ is 6.3 ± 0.4. The iron(III) site in I with ligated carboxamido nitrogens and thiolato sulfurs does not show any affinity toward nitriles. It thus appears that at physiological pH, a metal-bound hydroxide promotes hydration of nitriles nested in close proximity of the iron center in the enzyme. Redox measurements demonstrate that the carboxamido nitrogens prefer Fe(III) to Fe(II) centers. This fact explains the absence of any redox behavior at the iron site in nitrile hydratase. Upon exposure to limited amount of dioxygen, I is converted to the bis-sulfinic species. The structure of the more stable O-bonded sulfinato complex (Et₄N)[Fe(III)(PyP(SO₂)₂)] (2) has been determined. Six-coordinated low-spin cyanide adducts of the S-bonded and the O-bonded sulfinato complexes, namely, Na₂[Fe(III)(PyP(SO₂)₂)(CN)] (4) and (Et₄N)₂[Fe(III)(PyP(SO₂)₂)(CN)] (5), afford green solutions in water and other solvents. The iron(II) complex (Et₄N)₂[Fe(II)(PyPS)] (3) has also been isolated and structurally characterized.

Introduction

The microbial enzyme Nitrile Hydratase (NHase) catalyzes the hydrolysis of a wide variety of nitriles (R CN) to the corresponding amides (RCONH₂). 1 The hydrolysis of a wide variety of nitriles (R CN) to the

of the metal sites in the two types of NHases as well as their mode of catalysis are similar in nature. There is, however, an interesting difference between the two varieties of NHases; the activity of the Fe–NHases is regulated by photoirreversible binding of exogenous nitric oxide (NO) to the iron center.25–27 Such a regulatory role of NO in a non-heme iron enzyme is quite unique and is not observed with the Co–NHases.

Recent crystallographic studies on the Fe–NHase from *Rhodococcus* sp. R312 have revealed that the Fe(III) center is ligated to two deprotonated carboxamido nitrogens and three sulfur donors situated in the highly conserved C-S-L-C-S-C-motif of the α subunit.28 A more precise (higher resolution) structure of the dark-adapted Fe–NHase isolated from another Rhodococcus species (N771)29 further reveals that two of the ligated Cys-S residues are posttranslationally modified to the sulfenic(Cys-SO) and sulfenic (Cys-SO) forms and a molecule NO is coordinated at the solvent-exposed site of the metal (Figure 1). The iron-bound NO molecule is released upon illumination, and the active form of the NHase is generated. The N$_2$S$_2$ protein donor set around the iron(III) center is conserved in the active form of the enzyme.18,19,30–33 Results of spectroscopic studies on the active form of the Fe–NHase from *Rhodococcus* sp. R312 also suggest that a water molecule occupies the site of NO, hence conferring a six-coordinate iron(III) site with pseudooctahedral geometry. Recent electron–nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) studies,34 however indicate that the iron(III) site may contain a coordinated hydroxide at the optimum pH (pH 7.3) of the enzyme.35

The donor set of the iron(III) site of the Fe–NHases, namely, carboxamido N, sulfenate (RSO$^-$) and sulfinate (RSO$_2^-$), is unprecedented. Coordination of deprotonated carboxamido N has only been discovered recently in the nitrogenase P cluster36 while coordination by the modified cysteines residues (RSO$^-$, RSO$_2^-$) through the sulfur atoms has not been observed in any other metalloenzyme. Thus far, the mechanism underlying the biogenesis of the modified cysteines remains elusive. Proposed suggestions for such posttranslational modification of cysteines include (a) involvement of oxidative enzymes such as cysteine dioxygenases,37,38 (b) reaction with peroxynitrite anion derived from NO,39–46 and (c) generation of iron-peroxides from the reaction between oxygen and the iron center of NHase such as the case with the biogenesis of topa quinone.31,42 The effect(s) of the modified Cys-S centers on the overall properties of the iron(III) site is an open question in the chemistry of the NHase at this time.

There are several postulated mechanisms for nitrile hydrolysis by NHases.32,38 The first one involves displacement of the metal-bound water molecule by the nitrile substrate, followed by hydrolysis of the transient metal-bound nitrile species with the eventual rearrangement and release of the amide product (an inner-sphere mechanism). The second mechanism postulates a direct attack of the metal-bound hydroxide on the nitrile group of the substrate with eventual rearrangement to the corresponding amide product (an outer-sphere mechanism). The third mechanism involves activation of a water molecule in close proximity to the metal center via deprotonation by the metal-bound hydroxide. The hydroxide ion generated in such process ultimately causes hydrolysis of nitriles (also an outer-sphere mechanism). To our knowledge, there has not been any report that confirms any one of these mechanisms of nitrite hydrolysis by NHases.

The extent to which the unusual coordination structure of the iron site of the Fe–NHase dictates its ability to hydrolyze nitriles is an important question. To address this question, one needs to determine the intrinsic properties of the iron(III) site via studies on suitably designed model complexes that mimic the coordination structure of the iron(III) center of the Fe–NHases. In such pursuit, Kovacs and co-workers have synthesized a five-coordinated iron(III) complex with Ni$_2$S$_2$ donor set, [Fe$_{III}$S$_2$Ni$_2$(Pr,Pr)]PF$_6$ (structure i), that mimics some features of the active site of the Fe–NHase.33,44 For example, [Fe$_{III}$S$_2$Ni$_2$(Pr,Pr)]$^{[4+]}$ reacts with NO to form a diamagnetic photolabile iron–nitrosyl complex. This result is noteworthy since the reactivity of the model complex demonstrates that NO can bind to an iron(III) site and the resultant species could be photolabile. The inner-sphere (of the iron(III) center of [Fe$_{III}$S$_2$Me$_2$N$_2$(Pr,Pr)]$^{[4+]}$ also binds azide (N$_3^-$), a competitive inhibitor of NHase, in a reversible manner. This model is, however, not a good structural
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mimic since it does not contain any deprotonated carboxamido N in the coordination sphere of iron. Very recently, Chottard and co-workers have reported an iron(III) complex that contains two carboxamido N and three thiolato S donor sets.\(^{45}\) In this model complex (Et\(_4\)N)\(_2\)[Fe\(^{III}\)(L-O\(_2\))], structure ii), one of the thiolato S donors is in the sulfinic form and is bonded to iron through one of the oxygen atoms of the -SO\(_2\) unit. Although this model is a very close structural analogue of the iron site in Fe\(^{-}\)NHase, its physical parameters (\(S = \frac{3}{2}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 425\) nm) are quite different from those of the iron site of the enzyme (\(S = \frac{1}{2}\), \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 700\) nm). This is surprising in view of the structural similarities that exist between the biological iron(III) site and this model complex. In a recent article, Artaud and co-workers have reported an iron(III) complex (Et\(_4\)N)\(_2\)[Fe\(^{III}\), (N\(_2\)S\(_2\))Cl] (structure iii) which contains two carboxamido N and two thiolato S donors around the iron center.\(^{46}\) The spectroscopic parameters for this model complex (\(S = \frac{3}{2}\), \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 470\) nm) are also very different from those of Fe\(^{-}\)NHase. Clearly, more model studies are required to establish the underlying principles that correlate the structural features with spectroscopic parameters and reactivities.

For some time, we have been involved in syntheses of model complexes that mimic the structural features of the Fe\(^{-}\) and Co\(^{-}\)NHases.\(^{47-51}\) In such pursuit, we have reported for the first time the synthesis, structure, and redox properties of iron(III) and cobalt(III) complexes with ligands containing carboxamido N and thiolato S donors.\(^{48,50}\) The redox properties of the low-spin (\(S = \frac{3}{2}\)) complex (Et\(_4\)N)[Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPepS)]\(^{3+/2+}\) (structure iv) demonstrate that ligation of carboxamido N to iron provides significant stabilization to the +3 oxidation state. This could explain the stability of the iron(III) site (and lack of redox activity) in Fe\(^{-}\)NHase. Interestingly, both [Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPepS)]\(^{2+}\) and [Co\(^{III}\)(PyPepS)]\(^{2+}\) can readily be converted to the corresponding sulfinato species by reaction with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) or O\(_2\), and the sulfinato species [Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPepSO\(_2\))\(^{2+}\)] (structure v) exhibits an electronic absorption spectrum in water which is practically identical to the absorption spectrum of the Fe\(^{-}\)NHase.\(^{49,50}\) We have also shown that such oxidation can only take place when carboxamido nitrogens are present in the coordination sphere of the metal centers.\(^{47}\) It is therefore evident that studies on model complexes such as ours (and those from others) do provide valuable insight into the intrinsic properties of the biological M(III) sites in NHases.

As part of our continuing effort toward elucidation of the intrinsic chemistry of the M(III) sites of the NHases, we have recently synthesized a designed pentadentate ligand N,N’-bis-(2-mercaptophenyl)pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide (PyPSH\(_4\), structure vi, H’s are the dissociable carboxamide and thiol protons) that contains two carboxamide and two thiol groups. In a recent communication, we have reported the syntheses and structures of two cobalt (III) complexes of PyPSH\(_4\).\(^{51}\) One of these complexes, namely, [Co\(^{III}\)(PyPS)(CN)]\(^{2-}\), gives rise to [Co\(^{III}\)(PyPS)(OH)]\(^{2-}\) in basic solution. This cobalt(III) species with N\(_3\)S\(_2\)O coordination catalyzes a variety of nitriles under mild conditions (\(T < 50\) °C, pH 9). This success prompted us to examine its coordination chemistry with iron. In this paper we report the synthesis, structure, and reactivity of the iron(III) complex with PyPSH\(_4\), namely (Et\(_4\)N)[Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPS)] (I). Complex I contains a coordinatively unsaturated iron(III) center in a N\(_3\)S\(_2\) coordination sphere. The iron center reversibly binds a variety of Lewis bases such as pyridine, N-methylimidazole, cyanide, thiolates, methanol, and water in a solvent- and temperature-dependent fashion, and such binding modulates the spin state of the iron(III) center. We report here for the first time, the \(pK_a\) of a water molecule bound to an iron(III) center with carboxamido N and thiolato S donors. We also report that complex I reacts with dioxygen at room temperature to afford the corresponding sulfinato species which exists as S-bonded and O-bonded forms. The structure of the O-bonded sulfinato complex (Et\(_4\)N)\([Fe^{III}(PyP[SO_2])_2]^+\) (2) is reported in this paper. In addition, we have included the synthesis and structure of the iron(II) complex (Et\(_4\)N)[Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPS)] (3). And finally, the spectroscopic properties of the various iron complexes have been compared with those of the iron site in Fe\(^{-}\)NHase to assess the success of this modeling work.

**Experimental Section**

**Preparation of Compounds.** 2,6-Pyridinedicarboxyl dichloride, 2-aminothiophenol, sodium hydride, triethylamine, triphenylmethanol, triethylsilane, tetraethylammonium cyanide, and trifluoroacetic acid were procured from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. Small amounts (5 mL) of 98% hydrogen peroxide were prepared from 30% aqueous solution of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Fisher Scientific) by following the standard procedure.\(^{52}\) All of the solvents were purified by standard procedures. Standard Schlenk techniques were used during
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all syntheses to avoid exposure to dioxygen. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab Inc.

**Tryticated Aminothiophenol.** To a solution of triphenylmethanol (15.0 g, 57.6 mmol) in 100 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was added a solution of 2-aminothiophenol (7.21 g, 57.6 mmol) in 10 mL of methylene chloride. The reaction was stirred for 1 h, and then the TFA was removed by vacuum distillation. Next, the dry residue was added to a saturated solution of aqueous NaHCO₃ and allowed to stir for 1 h. The mixture was then extracted with 150 mL of chloroform, and the organic layer was collected and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The solution was filtrated, and the chloroform was removed by vacuum distillation. The solid residue thus obtained was recrystallized from methanol and dried under vacuum for 24 h. Yield: 16.5 g (78%).

**IR bands (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹):** 3300 (m, NH), 3015 (m), 1683 (vs, CO), 1617 (vs), 1589 (vs), 1568 (vs), 1449 (s), 1351 (m), 1270 (m), 1172 (s), 1161 (m), 1156 (m), 1129, 126.6, 127.5, 129.9, 137.8, 144.3, 151.3. Selected IR bands (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3462 (m), 3368 (m), 3053 (m), 1606 (s), 1477 (s), 1444 (m), 1310 (m), 743 (s), 701 (s).

**PyPS-Try.** A solution of tryticated aminothiophenol (7.21 g, 19.6 mmol) and triethylamine (3.47 g, 34.3 mmol) in 40 mL of chloroform was added dropwise to a solution of 2,6-pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride (2.11 g, 10.49 mmol) in 15 mL of degassed DMF, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was then concentrated to half the original volume, the mixture was then cooled to 4 °C, and a solution of (Et₄N)[FeCl₄] (0.345 g, 1.05 mmol) in 5 mL of DMF was then added to it, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature during which a brown color developed. The reaction mixture was concentrated to ~5 mL, and 10 mL of degassed acetonitrile was added to it. Diffusion of diethyl ether to the resulting solution afforded large black crystals of 3 after 48 h. Yield: 0.265 g (65%).

**Na₃[FeⅢ(PyP(SO₂)₃)Cl₂](CN) (4).** A solution of 55 mg (0.35 mmol) of (Et₄N)CN in 1 mL of acetonitrile was slowly added to a slurry of 200 mg (0.35 mmol) of (Et₄N)[FeⅢ(PyPS)] in 10 mL of acetonitrile. The reaction mixture turned homogeneous almost immediately. It was then cooled to ~40 °C in a slush bath, and a batch of 150 μL (6.0 mmol) of freshly prepared 98% H₂O₂ was added. The bright green mixture was stirred for 30 min at ~40 °C. Addition of a solution of 108 mg (0.80 mmol) of NaClO₄ in 1 mL of acetonitrile to this reaction mixture afforded a green precipitate. It was filtered, washed three times with acetonitrile, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 150 mg (75%).

**Na₃[FeⅢ(PyP(SO₂)₃)₂(CN)] (5).** To a solution of 80 mg (0.14 mmol) of (Et₄N)[FeⅢ(PyP(SO₂)₃)] in 40 mL of acetonitrile was added with stirring using a solution of (Et₄N)CN (22 mg, 0.14 mmol) in 1 mL of acetonitrile. The color of the initial red solution turned green. A green precipitate formed within 10 min. The solid was filtered, washed twice with acetonitrile, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 36 mg (40%).

**Studies on Binding of Donors at the Sixth Site of Iron in 1.** (a) **Water.** Binding studies were performed with freshly prepared solutions of 1 in degassed acetonewater (30:70) mixture. Changes in the absorption spectrum due to binding of water at low temperatures were monitored with the aid of a custom-designed low-temperature optical Dewar filled with the appropriate cooling bath. Approximately two minutes were necessary for the temperature to equilibrate in each case. An OMEGA temperature probe was used to monitor the temperature. The spectra were recorded with a diode-array Polytec PI UV–vis instrument. The pH of the solution mixtures was measured with a Beckman 200 pH meter. In a typical experiment, an aliquot (50 μL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was added to a Tris-buffer solution (2 mM) in acetonewater (30:70) mixture with its pH previously determined at 25 °C and ~30 °C. The solution was then placed in a 10 mm quartz cell that fits on the custom-designed Dewar apparatus, and the absorption spectrum was recorded until the sample temperature reached ~30 °C (temperature at which no further change in the spectrum was observed). Since the samples started freezing around ~35 °C, the spectra were obtained at ~30 °C. The spectra of the water adduct [FeⅢ(PyPS)(H₂O)]⁺ at different pH values were used to determine the pKₐ of the water bound to the iron(III) center. That the buffer components do not coordinate to the iron(III) center of 1 in these experiments is evident from the fact that the electronic absorption
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spectrum of 1 in pure water is identical to that in 2 mM tris buffer at all temperatures. To correct for changes in absorbance values due to deposition of water vapor on the cold window and uncertainties in all temperatures. To correct for changes in absorbance values due to spectrum of 1

(b) Methanol. An aliquot (50 µL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was added to 2 mL of methanol at room temperature. The solution was then placed in the 10 mm quartz cell that fits on the custom-designed Dewar apparatus, and the electronic spectrum was recorded when no further change in the spectrum was observed. Binding of methanol was complete at ~60 °C.

c) Pyridine. At room temperature, an aliquot (50 µL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was added to a mixture of 0.4 mL of acetone and 0.6 mL of pyridine. This mixture was then placed in the low-temperature cell. The electronic spectrum was recorded when no further change in the spectrum was observed for pyridine, complete binding to 1 occurred at ~70 °C.

(d) N-Methylimidazole. At room temperature, an aliquot (50 µL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was added to a mixture of 1 mL of acetone and 70 µL of N-methylimidazole. This mixture was then placed in the low-temperature cell. The electronic spectrum was recorded when no further change in the spectrum was observed (~70 °C).

(e) Ph₃CN. At room temperature, an aliquot (50 µL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was added to a mixture of 1 mL of acetone and 10 equiv of (Et4N)(CN). This mixture was then placed in the low-temperature cell. The electronic spectrum was recorded when no further change in the spectrum was observed (~70 °C)

(f) Cyanide (CN⁻). At room temperature, an aliquot (50 µL) of a 0.18 M solution of 1 in DMF was diluted in 2 mL of thoroughly degassed acetonitrile, and to it was added 1 equiv of (Et4N)(CN). The absorption spectrum of the cyanide-adduct was recorded in the same low-temperature cell. In acetonitrile, complete binding of CN⁻ was noted at ~10 °C.

Determination of Thermodynamic Parameters of Binding of Water and Pyridine to 1. Binding studies were performed using freshly prepared solutions of 1 in aqueous acetonitrile or in acetone/pyridine mixture. The van’t Hoff equation was employed for the determination of the thermodynamic parameter ΔH, the enthalpy of formation of the six-coordinate adducts [Fe⁢III(Py₃PS)B]⁻ (B = H₂O or py). The equilibrium constants were determined by monitoring the changes in the electronic absorption spectra at four different temperatures. Solutions of 6.9 mM of 1 were used in combination with appropriate concentrations of water or pyridine in acetonitrile so that 30–90% of adducts were present at the four temperatures. The distinct low-temperature values were attained by the use of appropriate low-temperature slush baths. Spectral data at 420 nm was collected to calculate ΔH since it displayed the largest change in absorbance. The extinction coefficient of 1 at 420 nm was calculated at 22 °C (4400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), ~20 °C (4800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), ~40 °C (5000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and ~75 °C (5150 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). These values were used to determine the concentration of 1 in the samples for these experiments. The equilibrium constants were calculated using Eqs. 1 and 2. The ΔH values for water and pyridine are ~25.9 and ~21.3 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

\[
\frac{\{\text{Et}_4\text{N}\}[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{Py}_{3}\text{PS})(\text{B})]}{[\text{B}^-]} = \frac{A - \epsilon_{\text{B}}}{\epsilon_{\text{B}} - \epsilon_{\text{A}}} = K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{[\{\text{B}^-\}]}{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]} \frac{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]}{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]} \quad (1)
\]

\[
K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{[\{\text{B}^-\}]}{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]} = \frac{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]}{[\text{B}^-] - [\{\text{B}^-\}]} \quad (2)
\]

X-ray Data Collection and Structure Solution and Refinement. Dark green blocks of 1 were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a DMF:acetonitrile (50:50 v/v) solution of 1. Slow evaporation of an acetonitrile solution of 2 afforded red needles which were suitable for diffraction studies. Brown needles of 3 were grown by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of the complex. Diffraction data for 1 were collected on a Siemens R3m/V machine (at 140 K), while data for complexes 2 and 3 were collected on a Bruker SMART.

Table 1. Summary of Crystal Data and Intensity Collection and Structure Refinement Parameters for (Et₄N)[Fe³⁺(Py₃PS)] (1), (Et₄N)[Fe³⁺(Py₃PS(O₂))²] (2), and (Et₄N)[Fe³⁺(Py₃PS)] (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formula</td>
<td>C₂₇H₃₁Fe₆N₄S₂</td>
<td>C₂₇H₃₁Fe₆N₄O₂S₂</td>
<td>C₃₅H₅₁Fe₅N₅O₂S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cryst.</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>14.910 (5)</td>
<td>7.4741 (4)</td>
<td>9.5004 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>18.369 (4)</td>
<td>21.4308 (12)</td>
<td>15.5545 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>19.222 (4)</td>
<td>17.0590 (9)</td>
<td>23.6924 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, deg</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, deg</td>
<td>91.12 (2)</td>
<td>92.9000 (10)</td>
<td>90.6610 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, deg</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, Å³</td>
<td>5264 (2)</td>
<td>2828.6 (3)</td>
<td>3523.0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_{calc}, g cm⁻³</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abs coeff, mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF+ on F²</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, %</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₂, %</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>11.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 GOF = (Σ[w(F₂ - F₂')]²/[M-N])¹/² (M = no. of reflections, N = no. of parameters refined). 2 R₁ = Σ|F₁| - |F₁'|/[Σ|F₁|]. 3 wR₂ = (Σ[w(F₂ - F₂')²]/Σ[w(F₂')²])¹/².

1000 system (at 90 K). Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) was used for diffraction, and the data were solved by direct methods (SHELXLS-97, Sheldrick, 1990). Only random fluctuations of <1% in the intensities of two standard reflections were observed during data collection for all the three complexes. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon were added geometrically and refined with the use of a riding model.

Machine parameters, crystal data, and data collection parameters are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The rest of the crystallographic data has been submitted as Supporting Information.

Other Physical Measurements. The electronic absorption spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 9 spectrophotometer. A Perkin-Elmer 1600 FTIR spectrophotometer was used to monitor the infrared (IR) spectra. H¹ and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ESP-300 spectrometer. Room-temperature magnetic measurements on solid samples were made with a Johnson-Matthey magnetic susceptibility balance. Electrochemical measurements were performed with standard Princeton Applied Research instrumentation and a Pt inlay electrode. Potentials were measured at 25 °C versus an aqueous saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference. The pKₐ of the bound water in [Fe³⁺(Py₃PS)(H₂O)]⁻ was determined by fitting the plot of pH versus absorbance at 420 nm with the aid of MATLAB package (see Supporting Information) and by using the function A = fₜₕₐₐₐₐₐₐ + fₖ₋ + f₋₋ₐ₋, where A is total absorbance, aₐₐₐₐₐ is the absorbances of the protonated and deprotonated species at 420 nm respectively, and

\[
f_{H_{2}O} = \frac{1}{K_{a} + \frac{1}{[H^{+}]}} \quad f_{H_{2}O} = \frac{1}{K_{b} + \frac{1}{[H^{+}]} + \frac{1}{[H^{+}]}}
\]

Results and Discussion

Syntheses of the Complexes. In a series of papers published from our laboratory, we have described the syntheses and structures of iron(III) (and iron(II)) and cobalt(III) complexes that contain carboxamido N coordination along with other donor sets. The discovery of the highly unprecedented coordination sphere at the iron and cobalt centers in NHases has prompted us to further explore the coordination chemistry of these two metals with new ligands with carbamido and thiolate groups. In the present study, we have utilized the designed ligand PyPSH₄ which comprises two carbamido and two
thiolate groups. The fully deprotonated PyPS\(_4^{-}\) ligand binds iron(II) in DMF at low temperature to form [Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPS)]\(^{-}\) without any complication arising out of reduction of the iron center and formation of sulfide.\(^{48,56,57}\) This behavior is in contrast to the reaction of iron(III) with the corresponding Schiff base ligand 2,6-bis[1-methyl-2-(2-thiolo)phenyl]-2-azaethene-pyridine\(^{55}\) which only gives rise to the iron(II) complex. It is evident that coordination of the carbamoyl nitrogens provides stability to iron(III) centers and prohibits reduction in case of PyPS\(_2^{-}\). This is further supported by the fact that when one uses only 2 equiv of NaH, reaction of PyPSH\(_2^{2-}\) (only the thiolate groups are deprotonated) with iron(III) leads to autoredox reaction resulting in the formation of polymeric products of iron(II) and disulfides.\(^{62,63}\) The fully deprotonated PyPS\(_4^{-}\), however, affords the iron(II) complex [Fe\(^{III}\)(PyPS)]\(^{2-}\) when one starts with an iron(II) source.

Solutions of 1 and 3 are sensitive to dioxygen. However, when a solution of 1 is stirred with controlled amount (~12 equiv) of dioxygen in dry acetone, 1 is smoothly converted into the sulfinate species 2 in which both thiolato groups are converted into sulfinate groups. To date, we have only been able to isolate the O-bonded isomer of 2. In absence of H-bonding interactions that involve the sulfinic O atoms (like in the enzyme), the oxophilic nature of the iron(III) center and strain in the five-membered chelate rings of 1 both prefer formation of the O-bonded isomer upon oxidation. It is quite possible that the


O-bonded isomer of 2 is more stable than the S-bonded one. Further support for this statement comes from the successful isolation of the O-bonded sulfinate species (Et$_4$N)$_2$[Fe$^{III}$L-O$_2$] (structure ii) by Chottard and co-workers.$^{45}$

**Structure of (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$PYPyS] (1).** The structure of 1 consists of discrete cations and monomeric anions (shown in Figure 2) and is devoid of any solvent molecules of crystallization. The tetraamionic pentadentate ligand PyPS$^{4-}$ is coordinated to the iron(III) center in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal fashion to give rise to a N$_3$S$_2$ coordination sphere. There is no interaction between the anion of complex (minimum Fe−Fe distance = 8 Å, Figure S1, Supporting Information). This is in contrast to the structure of the cobalt(III) complex of PyPS$^{4-}$ which is dimeric.$^{51}$ In the dimeric [Co$_2$(PyPS)$_2$]$^{5-}$ anion, the sixth site of each cobalt is ligated to one of the bound thiolato sulfurs of the other [Co$^{III}$PyPS]$^{5-}$ moiety. The propensity of the low-spin Co(III) complexes for octahedral coordination is most possibly responsible for this difference. Close examination of the two structures also reveals that in the dimeric cobalt(III) complex, the PyPS$^{4-}$ ligand frame is wrapped around the two cobalt centers in identical helical configurations. The asymmetric unit of 1 on the other hand, contains two [Fe$^{III}$PyPS]$^{5-}$ anions with opposite configurations pertaining to the two possible helical orientations the ligand can adopt at the iron(III) center (Figure S1). Results of solution magnetic measurements and EPR experiments at low temperatures (down to 4 K) indicate that the anions of 1 remain mononuclear at all temperatures. The reason for the different ways of ligation of the PyPS$^{4-}$ ligand frame around cobalt(III) and iron(III) remains unclear at this time.

In complex 1, the average Fe(III)−N$_{amido}$ distance is 2.04 Å (Table 2) and compares well with the corresponding distance reported for NHase, 2.07 Å (average value).$^{29}$ This value is somewhat longer compared to the Fe(III)−N$_{amido}$ distances observed in other carboxamido complexes of trivalent iron$^{66,63,64}$ including Chottard’s complex ii.$^{45}$ The average Fe(III)−S$_{thio}$ (thio = thiolate) distance in 1 (2.31 Å) also compares well with the corresponding average Fe(III)−S$_{thio}$ distance reported for NHase (2.32 Å)$^{29}$ and for other complexes with Fe(III)−S$_{thio}$ coordination (2.25−2.30 Å).$^{46,48,65−67}$ The model complex [Fe$^{III}$S$_2$Me$_2$N$_3$(Pr,Pr)]$^+$ (structure i) from Kovacs’ group however exhibits a shorter Fe(III)−S$_{thio}$ distance (2.13 Å) presumably due to constraints in the ligand frame.$^{43}$ Presence of four sets of five-membered rings in the coordination sphere of iron in 1 gives rise to smaller bond angles such as S(1)−Fe−S(2) (109.53° instead of 120°) and N(1)−Fe−N(3) (150.28° instead of 180°). The same fact opens up both S(1)−Fe−N(2) and S(2)−Fe−N(2) angles and allows further coordination to generate six-coordinate complexes (vide infra).

**Structure of (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$PYPepSO$_2$] (2).** The structure of the anion of 2 (Figure 3) clearly demonstrates that the two-coordinated thiolato sulfurs are converted to sulfinate groups and the sulfinate groups are coordinated to iron through one of the oxygen atoms. The coordination geometry around iron is still distorted trigonal bipyramidal and the sulfinato groups reside in the basal plane. The binding mode of the sulfinate groups in 1 resembles that observed in Chottard’s complex ii.$^{45}$ In both cases, changes from S-coordination to O-coordination occurs upon oxidation of the ligated thiolato sulfurs. It is however interesting to note that oxidation of the six-coordinated iron(III) complex [Fe$^{III}$PyPepS]$^{5-}$ (structure iv) affords the S-bonded sulfinate species [Fe$^{III}$PyPepSO$_2$]$^{5-}$ (structure v) without isomerization to the O-bonded species.$^{59}$

Conversion of 1 into 2 does not bring any significant change in the average Fe(III)−N$_{amido}$ distances. In complex 2, the average Fe(III)−N$_{amido}$ distance is 2.02 Å. The average Fe(III)−SO$_2$ (SO$_2$ denotes O-bonded sulfinate group) bond distance (1.91 Å) in 2 compares well with the only other known Fe(III)−SO$_2$ distance (2.00 Å) reported for complex [Fe$^{III}$L-O$_2$]$^{−}$ (structure ii).$^{45}$ The bond angles of the sulfinate groups (like O(1)−S(1)−O(2) = 108.85°) indicates sp$^3$ hybridized sulfur atoms in 2.

**Structure of (Et$_4$N)$_2$[Fe$^{III}$PYPyS] (3).** The structure of the anion of this iron(II) complex is shown in Figure 4 while selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2. The iron(II) center in the anion of 3 is ligated to the tetraamionic ligand PyPS$^{4−}$ in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal fashion. The N$_3$S$_2$ coordination sphere is similar to that observed in the corresponding iron(III) complex 1 except for the fact that the bond distances are somewhat longer in case of 3. For example, the average Fe(II)−N$_{amido}$ and Fe(II)−S$_{thio}$ bond distances in 3 are 2.16 and 2.38 Å respectively. Overall, the Fe(II)−N$_{amido}$
The other two model complexes \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(L-\text{O}_2)]\) distance of 3 is considerably longer than that noted for other iron(II) complexes with ligated carboxamido nitrogens.\(^{53}\)

**Properties of \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]\)[Fe\(^{\text{III}}\)PS] (1)**. Coordination of the deprotonated carboxamido nitrogens to the iron(III) center of 1 is evidenced by the red-shift of the carbonyl stretching frequency \((\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O}})\) from 1680 cm\(^{-1}\) in free ligand to 1632 cm\(^{-1}\) in the complex. Similar red shift has been noted for \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]\)[Fe\(^{\text{III}}\)(PyPS)\(_2\)]\(^{48}\) and other iron(III) complexes with coordinated carboxamido nitrogens.\(^{63}\) The electronic absorption spectrum of 1 in solvents such as DMF and acetonitrile consists of three strong bands with maxima at 650, 540, and 420 nm (Figure 5). These absorptions arise from thiolate-to-iron(III) charge-transfer transitions and are not present in the absorption spectrum of the iron(II) complex 3. The overlapping bands at 650 and 540 nm are responsible for the blue-green color of the iron(III) complexes with N,S coordination have also been reported that exist in low-spin (S = \(\frac{1}{2}\)) forms.\(^{70}\) In contrast, a few octahedral iron(III) complexes with N, S coordination have also been reported to have N,S coordination are mostly low-spin. This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that both 1 and \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2\text{Me}_2\text{N}_3(\text{Pr},\text{Pr})]\text{PF}_6\) afford low-spin adducts with \(\text{CN}^−\) (vide infra) and NO, respectively. Again, these results corroborate the proposition that the biological iron site is six-coordinate.\(^{18,32}\)

Coordination of the carboxamido nitrogens provides stability to the +3 oxidation state of iron in 1. This is evidenced by the reduction potential of 1. In DMF, 1 exhibits a reversible cyclic voltammogram (Figure 6) with half wave potential \((E_{1/2})\) of \(-0.65\) V (vs SCE). A \(E_{1/2}\) value of \(-0.27\) V (vs SCE) has been reported for \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2\text{N}_3(\text{Pr},\text{Pr})]\text{PF}_6\), a complex with no carboxamido nitrogen in the coordination sphere.\(^{43}\) We attribute the greater stability of the iron(III) center in 1 due to the coordinated carboxamido nitrogens. The reduction potential of the iron(III) center of the NHase from *Rhodococcus* sp. R312 in the presence of butyric acid has recently been measured.\(^{56}\) The \(E_{1/2}\) value \((-0.48\) V vs SCE) suggests that the biological iron(III) site is not easily reduced. It is quite evident that extra stability due to coordination of the carboxamido nitrogens prevents redox processes at the iron(III) site in NHase and allows it to act more like a Lewis acid. The reversibility of the voltammogram in Figure 6 first prompted us to synthesize the iron(II) complex 3.

\[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{(N}_2\text{S}_2)\text{Cl}^−\] (structure iii) are also red and exhibit absorptions at 475 (in acetonitrile) and 500 nm (in dichloromethane), respectively.\(^{43,46}\) Nevertheless it is interesting to note that binding of azide \((\text{N}_3^−)\) to \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2\text{Me}_2\text{N}_3(\text{Pr},\text{Pr})]\text{PF}_6\) results in a green solution (absorption maxima at 708 and 460 nm). Since binding of many Lewis bases to the iron(III) center of 1 also gives rise to green solutions with absorptions around 700 nm, it appears that the iron site in the enzyme most possibly is six-coordinate.

The iron(III) center in 1 is high-spin and exhibits a magnetic moment of \(6.1\ \mu_B\) in the polycrystalline state and in DMF solution at 300 K. It also exhibits a broad EPR spectrum with \(g = 8.32,\ 5.19,\ 4.14,\) and 2.11 at 4 K in DMF glass. The spectrum, typical of high-spin iron(III) in distorted crystal field, arises from transitions associated with all three Kramers’ doublets.\(^{68,69}\) The spin states of iron(III) centers in complexes with N,S coordination show wide variabilities and the reason(s) for such behavior is not clear at the present time. For example, despite strong chelation effect of the ligand frames, 1 contains a high-spin iron(III) center while Chottard’s model complex \(\text{NEt}_4[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{L}-\text{O}_2)]\) exhibits intermediate spin \((S = \frac{3}{2})\) at all temperatures. The iron(III) center in Kovacs’ pentacoordinate complex \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2\text{Me}_2\text{N}_3(\text{Pr},\text{Pr})]\text{PF}_6\) is predominantly low-spin \((S = \frac{1}{2})\) below 50 K while the high-spin state \((S = \frac{5}{2})\) gets significantly populated above 150 K. The complex \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{(N}_2\text{S}_2)\text{Cl}^−]\) has intermediate spin \((S = \frac{3}{2})\) at low temperatures. All these complexes are pentacoordinate and contain N,S coordination spheres. Wieghardt and co-workers have reported two octahedral iron(III) complexes of macrocyclic \(N_2S_1\) ligands which show spin equilibrium between high-spin \((S = \frac{5}{2})\) and low-spin \((S = \frac{1}{2})\) forms.\(^{70}\) In contrast, a few octahedral iron(III) complexes with N, S coordination have also been reported to have N,S coordination are mostly low-spin. This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that both 1 and \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{S}_2\text{Me}_2\text{N}_3(\text{Pr},\text{Pr})]\text{PF}_6\) afford low-spin adducts with \(\text{CN}^−\) (vide infra) and NO, respectively. Again, these results corroborate the proposition that the biological iron site is six-coordinate.\(^{18,32}\)

---


Incidentally, 3 is the first example of an iron(II) species with ligated carboxamido N and thiolato S donors.

Properties of (Et₄N)[Fe(III)(PyP)(SO₂)₂](2). The infrared spectrum of 2 shows a moderately strong band at 1070 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the S–O stretching ($ν_{SO₂}$) of the O-bonded sulfinato groups. This value compares well with the $ν_{SO₂}$ (1040 cm⁻¹) noted for the model complex NEt₄[Fe(III)(L-O₂)] (structure ii). Complex 2 also exhibits strong $νCO$ stretching at 1618 cm⁻¹, a value that confirms the presence of coordinated carboxamido groups. A solution of 2 in DMF displays bands at 480 and 360 nm. Overall, this electronic absorption spectrum resembles that of the two pentacoordinate model complexes [Fe(III)(L-O₂)] (450 and 380 nm) and [Fe(III)(N₂S₂)Cl] (500 and 390 nm) with coordinated carboxamido nitrogens.

Both the magnetic moment at 300 K (5.8 $μ_B$, polycrystalline sample) and the EPR spectrum (g = 4.27, DMF glass, 77 K) of 2 indicate that the iron(III) center exists in the high-spin state. The reduction potential of 2 in DMF (−0.36 V vs SCE, Figure 6) demonstrates that conversion of the bound thiolato ligands to the O-bonded sulfinato groups reduces the stability of the iron(III) center. It will be interesting to study the effect of the posttranslational modifications of the bound Cys-residues on the overall redox potential of the iron(III) site in NHase.

Properties of (Et₄N)²[Fe(II)(PyPS)] (3). The infrared spectrum of 3 exhibits a strong $νCO$ stretching at 1572 cm⁻¹ for the bound carboxamide groups. As discussed in our previous papers, $νCO$ stretching frequencies of iron(II)–amides are always lower than those of the corresponding iron(III)–amides due to greater contribution of the iminolate ("O–C=N−") tautomeric form of the bound peptide moiety. Complexes 1 and 3 are no exception in this regard. The iron(II) center in 3 exists in high-spin state as evidenced by the magnetic moment of the complex (4.9 $μ_B$, polycrystalline sample) at 300 K. Upon exposure to dioxygen, 3 in DMF is readily converted into 1. The oxidation can also be achieved by ferrocenium salts.

Reactivity of (Et₄N)[Fe(III)(PyPS)] Toward a Sixth Ligand. The trigonal bipyramidal complex 1 comprises an open angle (N(2)–Fe–S(2)) of 127.34° and is expected to bind a sixth ligand. We discovered that ligands with good Lewis base strength binds to the iron(III) center of 1 in a temperature- and solvent-dependent fashion. Ligands such as pyridine, N-methylimidazole, methanol, aryl thiolates, methoxide, and water all bind to 1 at low temperatures ($T < 0$ °C) to generate six-coordinate species. Binding of CN⁻ occurs at room temperature, and the cyanide adduct ($ν_{CN} = 2024$ cm⁻¹, $g = 2.29, 2.10, 1.97$, Figure 7) can be isolated from acetonitrile solution in high yield. Although we do not have a structure of (Et₄N)₂[Fe(III)(PyPS)(CN)] as of yet, the corresponding cobalt(III) species has been structurally characterized. The spectroscopic parameters of these six-coordinate adducts indicate a general structure (structure vii) that includes two carboxamido nitrogens coor-
but has no affinity toward nitriles. Therefore, it seems unlikely that the mechanism of catalysis by NHase involves binding of the nitrile substrates to the iron(III) center (inner-sphere mechanism).

Formation of \((\text{Et}_4\text{N})\)[Fe(III)(PyPS)(H_2O)] and its Reactivity.

To investigate the other suggested mechanism for nitrile hydration, namely, hydration by an iron(III)-bound hydroxide (outer-sphere mechanism), we have studied the binding of water at the sixth site of iron(III) in I and determined the \(pK_a\) of such a bound water molecule. Early EPR\(^{18}\) and ENDOR\(^{32}\) data indicated that the active site of NHase contains a water molecule bound to the apical site of the iron(III) center. On the basis of the structures of other hydrolases, it has also been proposed that the bound water at the metal center is in the hydroxide form and there is one ENDOR work which supports the presence of a hydroxide group bonded to the apical site of the metal center in NHase.\(^{34}\) We discovered that complex I binds water at low temperatures and results in the formation of \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\), a reaction that can be followed by monitoring changes in the absorption spectrum of I in an acetone:water (30:70) mixture (Figure 8). As the temperature of the solution is decreased, changes in the absorption spectrum are noticed with the appearance of two isosbestic points at 590 and 790 nm. No change is observed beyond \(-30\) °C when the formation of \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) becomes complete (acetone does not bind to the iron(III) center of I even at \(-94\) °C, its freezing point).

These changes are completely reversible and as one expects, is sensitive to the pH of the solution. The plot of the absorbance of the 420 nm band of the final spectra of such solutions of I at \(-30\) °C versus the pH values (Figure S3, Supporting Information) affords an apparent value of 6.3 ± 0.4 for the \(pK_a\) of the bound water molecule in \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) at \(-30\) °C. We have previously reported that the \(pK_a\) of the bound water in \([\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) at room temperature is 8.3.\(^{51}\) Replacement of cobalt(III) with iron(III) in \([\text{M}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) apparently increases the acidity of the bound water molecule. The \(pK_a\) of water bound to other iron(III) complexes have been reported. For example, in \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(3,4\text{-TDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) (TDTA is a tetraanionic ligand with N_2O_4 donor set), the \(pK_a\) of the bound water is 8.2.\(^{72}\)

In the present study, the hydroxide-bound species \([\text{M}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{OH})]\)^\(^{-}\) has been generated in solution by adjusting the \(pH\) of a solution of \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\)^\(^{-}\) (in aqueous acetone) to 10. The same species is formed when \((\text{Me}_4\text{N})\)(OH) is added to a solution of I in DMF/acetonitrile mixture. Binding of hydroxide ion to the iron(III) center of I results in low-spin \([\text{M}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{OH})]\)^\(^{-}\) which exhibits a strong EPR signal with \(g\)-values = 2.22, 2.12, 1.99. The EPR spectrum (Figure 7) is comparable to the EPR spectrum of NHase \((g = 2.21, 2.14, 1.95)\). These results support the notion that the water molecule bound at the iron center of NHase could exist as hydroxide at the functional \(pH\) of the enzyme (\(-7.5\)), and hence such an iron center could hydrolyze substrates much like other known hydrolases.

**Thermodynamics of Binding of Water and Pyridine to (Et_4N)[Fe(III)(PyPS)].** These two ligands were chosen since they do not pose any effect due to charge and offer different donor atom (O vs N) to the iron(III) center of I. The van’t Hoff plots for the binding of these two ligands (Figure S4) were used to calculate the enthalpy of formation (\(\Delta H\)) of the adducts \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) and \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{PyPS})(\text{py})]\). The \(\Delta H\) value for the water adduct (\(-25.9\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) is higher than that of the pyridine adduct (\(-21.3\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), a fact that supports the higher affinity of the iron(III) center toward water, an O-donor. As mentioned before, binding of water is complete at \(-30\) °C while for pyridine, complete binding is noted only at \(-70\) °C. These results clearly indicate that the iron(III) center in I with coordinated carboxamido N and thiolato S donors prefers H_2O.

---

over N-donors. Indeed, we did not notice any binding of nitriles to the iron(III) center of NHase and then are hydrolyzed thus appears to be unlikely.

Reactivity of (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)] with Oxygen (O2). One unique feature of the unprecedented coordination structure of the iron site in NHase is the presence of one sulfenato and one sulfinato group bound to the iron through the S centers. The suggestion that nitriles displace sulfenato groups sequentially isomerize to the O-bonded form unsaturated, and this fact allows temporary binding of the iron site in NHase is the presence of one sulfenato and one sulfinato group bound to the iron through the S centers. The suggestion that nitriles displace sulfenato groups sequentially isomerize to the O-bonded form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>λmax (e M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>g values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)(MeOH)]</td>
<td>790 (950), 550 (3800), 410 (8500)</td>
<td>2.23 2.20 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)(OH)]</td>
<td>790 (700), 595 (3900), 410 (7600)</td>
<td>2.31 2.12 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)(N-MeIm)]</td>
<td>910 (1600), 700 (1800), 420 (6500)</td>
<td>2.32 2.15 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)(Ph)]</td>
<td>640 (3400), 540 (4400)</td>
<td>2.27 2.13 1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)(CN)]</td>
<td>910 (1800), 620 (2400)</td>
<td>2.30 2.11 1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPSO2)(CN)]</td>
<td>640 (1350), 470 (1600)</td>
<td>2.27 2.19 1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Et4N)[FeIII(PyPSO2)]</td>
<td>660 (1200), 425 (1800)</td>
<td>2.20 1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Experimental Section for details. * Spectra recorded at 77 K at X-band frequencies. Spectrometer settings: microwave frequency, 9.2 GHz, microwave power, 25 mW; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation amplitude, 2 G. 1 MeOH glass of I. 2 [FeIII(PyPS)(OH)] was generated as follows. 0.8 equiv of MeCN was mixed with 1 equiv of I at 0 °C in a CH3CN:DMF mixture and then frozen. * 1 equiv of N-MeIm added to I in DMF and frozen. * 1 equiv of (Et4N)(CN) to I in DMF and frozen. * DMF glass.

Figure 8. Top: Changes in the electronic absorption spectrum of a 0.35 mM solution of [FeIII(PyPS)] in acetone:water (30:70) mixture (pH 5.5) with temperature. At −30 °C, the final spectrum of the water adduct [FeIII(PyPS)2(H2O)] was recorded after 10 min.

Reactivity of (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPS)] with Oxygen (O2). One unique feature of the unprecedented coordination structure of the iron site in NHase is the presence of one sulfenato and one sulfinato group bound to the iron through the S centers. The oxidant responsible for the posttranslational modifications of the bound Cys-S donors at the active site of the enzyme is the oxidant actually responsible for the thiolato transformation to the iron(III) center.

Affinity of iron(III) centers toward anionic oxygens could be the driving force for this rearrangement. It is interesting to note that oxidation of (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPepS)] (structure iv) to (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPepSO2)] does not allow such rearrangement. We attribute this difference in behavior to the coordinative saturation in (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPepS)]. For the rearrangement to take place, the O atom of the coordinated S-bonded sulfinato group should be temporarily ligated to the iron(III) center while the Fe−S bond starts to break (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

The reaction of (Et4N)[FeIII(PyPepS)] with dioxygen has been followed by electrospray mass spectrometry. As the solution of 1 in acetone is exposed to dioxygen, all intermediate oxygenated species with one, two, and three O atoms are observed along with [FeIII(PyP(SO2)3)2]− (2) in the reaction mixture. It thus appears that the oxygenation reaction proceeds via a persulfoxidic intermediate which can give rise to products with bound sulfinato groups (i.e., products with one or three O atoms).

At the end of 48 h, only peaks corresponding to 2 are observed in the mass spectrum.

Addition of dilute hydrochloric acid to a solution of 2 in acetone removes the sulfinato ligand from iron as evidenced by the rapid loss of color. The precipitated ligand exhibits a single peak in the mass spectrum, corresponding to PyP-

---

{SO}_2}_2\text{H}_4$, and its IR spectrum displays strong ν_{SH} at 3271 cm$^{-1}$, ν_{CO} at 1656 cm$^{-1}$, and ν_{SO2} at 1121 and 1082 cm$^{-1}$. The deprotonated form of this ligand readily affords the iron(III) complex 2 in DMF. It thus appears that the preferred mode of binding of the sulfinato groups of [PyP{SO}_2}_2^{3-} to iron(III) is through the O (not the S) atoms.

**Reactivity of (Et}_4\text{N}[Fe^{III}(PyPS)(CN)] with H}_2\text{O}_2.** In the previous section, we have hypothesized that the rearrangement of the S-bonded sulfinato complex to the O-bonded species can occur when the iron(III) center is not coordinatively saturated (Scheme 1). If this is true, then one expects to synthesize the S-bonded sulfinato complex via oxygenation of a coordinatively saturated iron(III) complex of PyPSH$_4$. Since we had access to such a complex, namely, [Fe^{III}(PyPS)(CN)]$^{2-}$, we studied the reactions of [Fe^{III}(PyPS)(CN)]$^{2-}$ with O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$. Although both reactions afforded the same results, the reaction with dioxygen was very slow. The reaction of [Fe^{III}(PyPS)(CN)]$^{2-}$ with H$_2$O$_2$ is, however, rapid even at subzero temperature and hence we have studied this reaction in detail. Reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with [Fe^{III}(PyPS)(CN)]$^{2-}$ in acetonitrile at $-20^\circ$C affords [Fe^{III}(PyP{SO}_2}_2^{3-}](CN)$^{2-}$ which has been isolated as the sodium salt and characterized by spectroscopic techniques, elemental analysis, and electrospray mass spectrometry. That the S atom of the sulfinate groups are bonded to the Fe(III) center in Na$_2$[Fe^{III}(PyP{SO}_2}_2^{3-}] (4) is evident from the similarities in spectroscopic parameters of this complex with those of the structurally characterized S-bonded sulfinate complex Na[Fe^{III-}(PyPep{SO}_2}_2]$_2$.

For example, the IR spectrum of 4 displays strong ν_{SO2} at 1186 cm$^{-1}$, while Na[Fe^{III}(PyPep{SO}_2}_2]$_2$ exhibits ν_{SO2} at 1184 cm$^{-1}$. Dark-green solutions of 4 in water and DMF exhibit a moderately strong band with maximum at 670 and 640 nm, respectively. The EPR spectrum of 4 in DMF glass ($g = 2.20, 1.93$). Successful isolation of 4 and 5 provides support to our hypothesis that oxygenation of coordinatively saturated and kinetically inert iron(III) complexes gives rise to S-bonded sulfinato species.

**Summary and Conclusions**

The following are the principal results and conclusions of this investigation.

(i) The iron(III) and iron(II) complexes of a designed pentadentate ligand PyPSh$_4$ with two carboxamide and two thiolate groups have been synthesized and structurally characterized. These complexes add to the very short list of iron complexes that contain an iron(III) center ligated to deprotonated carboxamido nitrogens and thiolato sulfurs and are good structural models for the iron(III) site in Fe=NHase.  

(ii) The redox potential of the iron(III) center in (Et}_4\text{N}[Fe^{III}\text{(PyPS)(CN)}] (I) (−0.65 V versus SCE in DMF) indicates that the carboxamido nitrogens provide significant stability to the +3 oxidation state of iron and this in turn indicates that such stabilization could account for the absence of any redox activity of the iron site in NHase.  

(iii) The coordinatively unsaturated iron(III) site in 1 binds various Lewis bases to give six-coordinate low-spin iron(III) species which afford green solution in water and DMF. Ligands such as methanol, water, pyridine, N-MeIm, and PhS$^-$ bind at subzero temperature, while CN$^-$ binds at room temperature. These results suggest that the low-spin green iron center in the enzyme is most probably six-coordinate.

(iv) The pK$_a$ of the bound water molecule in [Fe^{III}(PyPS)-(H$_2$O)]$^-$ has been determined by low-temperature spectrophotometry. The pK$_a$ value (6.3 ± 0.4) indicates that at physiological pH, the water at the iron(III) site in NHase could exist as hydroxide (as suggested by ENDOR studies on the enzyme). The pK$_a$ of the bound water in the corresponding cobalt(III) complex [Co^{III}(PyPS)(H$_2$O)]$^-$ is 8.3, a fact that suggests that the iron-bound water is more acidic.  

(v) The iron(III) center in 1 does not show any affinity toward nitriles even at low temperature. This behavior is observed with other model complexes. The enthalpy of formation of [Fe^{III-}(PyPS)/(H$_2$O)]$^-$ (−25.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$) also indicates strong binding (76) No oxidation wave corresponding to iron(III)/(IV) couple has been observed with 1 in any solvent up to +1.5 V (vs SCE).
by water and hence replacement of water (or hydroxide) at the active site of NHase by nitriles appears unlikely.

(vi) Exposure of solutions of 1 to dioxygen affords the O-bonded sulfinato complex (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyP}$($\text{SO}_2$)$_2$)] (2). This reaction mimics the posttranslational modification of the bound Cys-S centers of the active site of NHase. Conversion of similar thiolato complex (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyP}$($\text{PS}$)$_2$)] to the S-bonded sulfinato complex (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyP}$($\text{PS}$)$_2$)] indicates that the S-bonded iron(III) sulfinato species rearrange to the corresponding O-bonded species when the iron(III) center is coordinatively unsaturated (like (Et$_4$N)[Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyPS}$)]. This is further supported by the fact that the coordinatively saturated complex [Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyPS}$](CN))$_2$ affords the S-bonded sulfinato complex [Fe$^{III}$]($\text{PyP}$($\text{SO}_2$)$_2$](CN)]$_2$ (5).
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